1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	RAGHVENDRA SINGH,	Case No.: 1:22-cv-00061-SAB (PC)
9	Plaintiff,)	ODDED TO CHOW CALICE WHY ACTION
10	v.)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
11	SHUBHANGINI DEVINE,	(ECF No. 8)
12	Defendants.	
13)	
14	Plaintiff Raghvendra Singh is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
15	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
16	On February 18, 2022, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint, found no cognizable claims,	
17	granted Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 8.) Plaintiff has not responded to	
18	the Court's order and the time to do so has expired. Accordingly, within fourteen (14) days from the	
19	date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall show cause why this action should not be dismissed for	
20	failure to prosecute, failure to comply with a court order, and failure to state a cognizable claim for	
21	relief. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation to dismiss the	
22	action.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	G_{ij}
25	Dated: April 11, 2022	July A. De
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		